

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original on file in my office.

ATTEST

WILLIAM T. WALSH, Clerk  
United States District Court  
District of New Jersey

By:

  
Deputy Clerk

02/17/2016

UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: LIQUID ALUMINUM SULFATE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2687

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -1)

On February 4, 2016, the Panel transferred 4 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* \_\_\_ F.Supp.3d \_\_\_ (J.P.M.L. 2016). Since that time, no additional action(s) have been transferred to the District of New Jersey. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Jose L Linares.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the District of New Jersey and assigned to Judge Linares.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the District of New Jersey for the reasons stated in the order of February 4, 2016, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Jose L Linares.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:



Jeffery N. Lüthi  
Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

Feb 16, 2016

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE: LIQUID ALUMINUM SULFATE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2687

**SCHEDULE CTO-1 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<u>DIST</u>	<u>DIV.</u>	<u>C.A.NO.</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA EASTERN			
CAE	2	16-00187	City of Sacramento v. General Chemical Corporation et al
LOUISIANA WESTERN			
LAW	1	15-02840	City of Alexandria v. Reichl et al
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	15-04350	Water, Light, Power and Building Commission of the City of East Grand Forks, Minnesota v. Hawkins, Inc. et al
MN	0	15-04434	City of Minneapolis v. Reichl et al
MN	0	15-04435	City of Duluth, Minnesota v. Reichl et al
MN	0	15-04438	TeeMark Corporation v. Reichl et al
MN	0	15-04498	Mobile Area Water and Sewer System v. Hawkins, Inc. et al
MN	0	15-04582	Board of Water Commissioners of the City of Saint Paul, Minnesota v. Reichl et al
MN	0	16-00091	City of St. Cloud, Minnesota v. Reichl et al
MN	0	16-00171	City of Grand Marais v. Reichl et al
MN	0	16-00176	City of Fergus Falls et al v. Reichl et al
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	15-06564	LITTLE RIVER COUNTY R.D.A. WATER SYSTEM v. REICHL et al
PAE	2	15-06638	CITY OF EAST MOLINE v. REICHL et al
PAE	2	15-06799	EAST VALLEY WATER DISTRICT v. REICHL et al
PAE	2	16-00170	MUNICIPAL AUTHORITY OF WESTMORELAND COUNTY v. REICHL et al
PAE	2	16-00319	CITY OF MATTOON v. REICHL et al
WASHINGTON EASTERN			

WAE 2 16-00010 City of Spokane v. General Chemical Corporation et al

WASHINGTON WESTERN

WAW 3 16-05057 City of Tacoma v. General Chemical Corporation et al